

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE, DATA, AND INFORMATION SERVICE

Washington, D.C. 20233

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Office of Radio Frequency Management Room 3332, Federal Office Bldg. #4 Washington, D.C. 20233

July 29, 1993

RECEIVED

JUL 2 9 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ref: ET Docket No. 93-198

Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

To the Commission:

Attached please find the comments of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Department of Commerce, in response to the Commission's Notice of Inquiry in the Matter of Preparation for International Telecommunications Union World Radiocommunication Conferences.

Sincerely,

Richard Barth

Director

No. of Copies rec'd_ List A B C D E



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

PECEIVED

In the Matter of

Preparation for International
Telecommunication Union World
Radiocommunication Conferences

DUL 2 9 1993

FEDERAL CONTROL OF 199

REPLY COMMENTS

of the

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), United States Department of Commerce, provides the following Reply Comments in response to the Commission's Notice of Inquiry (NOI) in the above-captioned matter.

NOAA did not submit initial Comments in this proceeding, but Comments provided by others could, if implemented, seriously impair NOAA's ability to serve the public. These Reply Comments are therefore being provided to address these critical issues.

Among NOAA's responsibilities are the collection of environmental data and the use of these data to prepare weather forecasts and storm warnings which serve to protect the life and property of the public. Meteorological data collected by NOAA and by the meteorological organizations of other nations are exchanged, to mutual advantage, through procedures established by the World

Meteorological Organization. Among NOAA's data collection systems are meteorological satellites (METSATS) and radiosondes, or "weather balloons."

NOAA also operates the United States Search and Rescue Satellite Aided Tracking (SARSAT) satellites, which are this nation's contribution to the international COSPAS/SARSAT network. Participants in SARSAT life saving operations include other elements of the U.S. Government and a number of foreign nations.

WEATHER SATELLITE ISSUES

The 136-137 MHz Band

NOAA polar-orbiting satellites (originally the TIROS-N series and also known as the NOAA series) have in the past been designed to use the 136-137 MHz band for Automatic Picture Transmission (APT) downlinks on a primary basis. Effective 1 January 1990, these transmissions became secondary in accordance with Footnote (FN) 595. Future generations of NOAA's satellites will use the 137-138 MHz band for these downlinks, but spacecraft of existing design will continue to be used until approximately 1998. ARINC's proposal to delete secondary services from the 136-137 MHz band is acceptable provided it is made effective not earlier than 1998.

¹ ARINC Comments, page 5.

The 137-138 MHz Band

This band will, for the foreseeable future, continue to be heavily used by APT and other METSAT downlinks to transmit environmental data. Receivers are widely distributed around the world, since the signals are designed to be accessible to the public via a variety of simple and inexpensive receiving and display systems. During the 1992 World Administrative Radio Conference (WARC-92), the band was reallocated to provide access to the Mobile Satellite Service (MSS) while guaranteeing the protection of meteorological users. This was accomplished by making the MSS secondary in segments near the top and bottom of the band, around which segments METSAT operators could design their future spacecraft. Domestically, certain additional bands were made secondary for MSS to allow time for the METSAT service to change frequencies.

ORBCOMM, which plans to use this band for its proposed "Little LEO" system, proposes² that the WARC-92 secondary allocations for the MSS be mad primary at WRC-95. This flies in the face of agreements made before WARC-92 under which NOAA agreed to use of the band by the MSS. NOAA must object to any enhancement of MSS status in any METSAT band absent a showing, which neither ORBCOMM nor anyone else has made, that METSAT operations would not thereby be injured.

² ORBCOMM Comments, page 5.

The 1675-1710 MHz Band

This band is heavily used worldwide for downlinks from METSATs and meteorological aids (radiosondes). NOAA's use includes data recovery from satellites, and the frequent launch of radiosondes from about 120 locations. The band is used similarly by others in the United States and throughout the world.

The band was reallocated by WARC-92 to provide access to the MSS in ITU Region 2 only. This change took place over U.S. objections, and was met with a U.S. footnote noting that the band would not be used for MSS within the United States. Several commenters propose to use the 1675-1710 MHz band for the MSS, apparently in the belief that a mistake, once made, must be exacerbated.

AMSC suggests³ that wind profilers will shortly obviate the need for radiosondes. In fact, profilers and radiosondes are complementary systems, and both will continue to be needed for years to come.

AMSC suggests⁴ also that this band could be shared by METSATS,

Meteorological Aids and MSS. Certain proponents of MSS expansion in the band
have produced studies suggesting that this could be accomplished by a

complicated, expensive, and failure-prone satellite system which nobody

(certainly not its proponent) has offered to build. Whether sharing could be
accomplished under practical circumstances has yet to be determined. Sharing

criteria are being developed by a NOAA-funded study.

³ AMSC Comments, page 7.

⁴ AMSC Comments, page 7.

Motorola suggests⁵ that consideration be given to expansion of the existing Region 2 allocation for MSS in this band to cover all three ITU Regions. Given the lack of agreement on compatibility issues, this proposal must be dropped, at least for the immediate future.

Given the drastic harm that could come from degraded weather forecasts should the band not be shareable, the United States must continue to oppose further expansion of the MSS in this band.

WIND PROFILER ISSUES

NOAA was one of the earliest developers of wind profiling technology, and has been in the forefront of efforts to provide spectrum for the operational use of these systems in weather forecasting. NOAA now advocates WRC consideration of profiler allocations as early as possible, for several reasons.

In the past, profilers have been built near 404 MHz by several countries because no other band had been allocated for this use. Currently, profilers at or near this frequency are the only mid-band (near 400 MHz) type commercially available. It has now become clear that use of this frequency threatens the SARSAT system. Indeed, a number of interference incidents have occurred with non-NOAA profilers, due either to inadequate equipment design or improper operation. In order to avoid proliferation of profilers which hazard SARSAT's life-saving mission, it is essential that alternate spectrum be designated as quickly

⁵ Motorola Comments, pages 8-9.

as possible.

A number of countries have expressed interest in the use of profilers, and several have already built and operated them. Many administrations lack the resources needed to develop profilers on new frequencies, since much of the equipment is highly frequency sensitive. The initial design of NOAA's 404 MHz antenna alone cost approximately \$800,000. The creation of uniform profiler bands would significantly reduce the cost of buying profilers in small quantities, enabling less affluent nations to employ wind profilers. It should also be noted that American manufacturers are among the few already positioned to produce profilers in quantity, and prompt approval of uniform bands would work to their benefit.

We note that National Academy of Sciences (NAS) supports addressing Wind Profiler allocations at WRC-95. The support of the Academy, which is concerned primarily with the advancement of science and has no other axe to grind, is greatly welcomed.

Motorola proposes⁶ that wind profiler allocations not be treated at the 1995 WRC, basing its remarks on alleged "serious interference concerns".

Motorola's concerns were expressed in its Comments in ET Docket NO. 93-59, and were addressed in NOAA's Reply Comments in that proceeding. They were shown to be based on a misinterpretation of the NTIA report which discussed profiler characteristics. Motorola's further objection to profilers is understandable

⁶ Motorola Comments, page 18.

in light of their proposal⁷ that the 1993 and 1995 World Radio Conferences should be narrowly focussed on satisfying its own demands for more MSS spectrum.

For the reasons given above, NOAA believes that the United States should advance the cause of wind profiler allocations before the ITU as soon as success appears possible, given the status of domestic proceedings and the work of Radiocommunication Sector (formerly CCIR) Task Group 8/2.

⁷ Motorola Comments, page 18.

I certify that on this date I have caused copies of these Reply Comments to be mailed to the individuals listed.

Richard Barth July 29, 1993

Jill A. Stern
SHAW, PITTMAN, POTTS &
TROWBRIDGE
(Counsel for Intl. Small Sat. Org.)
2300 N Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037-1128

Paul J. Sinderbrand SINDERBRAND & ALEXANDER (Counsel for Wireless Cable Assoc.) 888 Sixteenth Street, NW Suite 610 Washington, DC 20006-4103

UTILITIES
TELECOMMUNICATIONS
COUNCIL
Attn: Jeffrey L. Sheldon
Sean A. Stokes
1140 Connecticut Ave., NW
Suite 1140
Washington, DC 20036

COMSAT MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS Attn: Alicia A. McGlinchey 22300 COMSAT Drive Clarksburg, MD 20871

Linda K. Smith CROWELL & MORING (Counsel for Loral Qualcomm) 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004 COMSAT WORLD SYSTEMS Attn: Robert A. Mansbach 6560 Rock Spring Drive Bethesda, MD 20817

Robert A. Mazer
NIXON, HARGRAVE, DEVANS &
DOYLE
(Counsel for Constellation Comm.)
One Thomas Circle, NW
Washington, DC 20005

Stephen D. Baruch LEVENTHAL, SENTER & LERMAN (Counsel for TRW Inc.) 2000 K Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006

Donald M. Jansky (for RS TG 4/4) Jansky/Barmat Communications 1899 L Street N.W. Suite 1010 Washington DC 20036

Stanley Leinwoll (Counsel for Family Stations, Inc.) 155 West 68th Street Suite 905 New York, NY 10023 George Jacobs
GEORGE JACOBS & ASSOCIATES,
INC.
(for Adventist Broadcast et.al.)
8701 Georgia Ave.
Suite 410
Silver Spring, MD 20910

Richard E. Wiley WILEY, REIN, & FIELDING (Counsel for Sat. CD Radio, Inc.) 1776 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

Howard M. Liberman ARTER & HADDEN (Counsel for Primosphere Limited) 1801 K Street, NW Suite 400 K Washington, DC 20006

W. Theodore Pierson, Jr. PIERSON & TUTTLE (Counsel for Digital Satellite) 1200 19th Street, NW Suite 607 Washington, DC 20036

Tara K. Giunta REID & PRIEST (Counsel for AfriSpace Corp.) 701 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004

John J. McVeigh
FISHER, WAYLAND, COOPER AND
LEADER
(Counsel for Natl. Assoc. of SW BC)
1255 Twenty-third Street, NW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20037-1170

John L. Bartlett (Counsel for ARINC) WILEY, REIN & FIELDING 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington DC 20006

Raul R. Rodriguez
Stephen D. Baruch
(Counsel for STARSYS)
LEVENTHAL, SENTER & LERMAN
2000 K Street, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington DC 20006

Stephen L. Goodman (Counsel for ORBCOMM) HALPRIN, TEMPLE & GOODMAN Suite 1020, East Tower 1301 K Street, N.W. Washington DC 20005

Dr. Robert L. Riemer Committee on Radio Frequencies HA-562 National Research Council 2101 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington DC 20418

Lon C. Levin VP & Regulatory Counsel AMSC Subsidiary Corporation 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington DC 20036

Philip J. Malet Alfred M. Mamlet (Counsel for Motorola) STEPTOE & JOHNSON 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington DC 20036

Leslie A. Taylor LESLIE TAYLOR ASSOCIATES 6800 Carlynn Court Bethesda MD 20817